

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROSENDO BUENO,	No.: 1:21-cv-01522-NONE-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	v.)	RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DISMISSING ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A
14	CHRISTIAN PFEIFFER, et al.,	COGNIZABLE CLAIM FOR RELIEF
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 7)
16)	
17	Plaintiff Rosendo Bueno is appearing <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On November 29, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that the instant action be dismissed for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief.	
22	(Doc. No. 7.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that	
23	objections were to be filed within fourteen days. (Id. at 6.) Plaintiff filed objections on January 3,	
24	2022. (Doc. No. 8.)	
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de	
26	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including plaintiff's objections, the	
27	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.	
28	Plaintiff's objections do not meaningfully undermine the magistrate judge's conclusion that his claims	

1	are barred by <i>Heck v. Humphrey</i> , 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), because a favorable ruling in this case		
2	would undermine a prison disciplinary determination that impacts plaintiff being awarded good time		
3	credits.		
4	Acco	ordingly,	
5	1.	The findings and recommendations issued on November 29, 2021, (Doc. No. 7), are	
6		adopted; and	
7	2.	This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to state a	
8		cognizable claim for relief.	
9	3.	The Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this action for the	
10		purposes of closure and then to close this case.	
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated:		
14		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			